



Subsurface Irrigation for Turfgrass Areas

Bernd Leinauer New Mexico State University <u>leinauer@nmsu.edu</u>



The College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences is an engine for economic and community development in New Mexico, improving the lives of New Mexicans through academic, research, and extension programs.

Strategies for Irrigation Water Conservation

- 1. Artificial Turf
- 2. Reduce area under irrigation
- Irrigation with recycled/impaired water
- 4. Use of low water use <u>turfgrass</u> species

- 5. Accept quality reduction
- 6. Increase irrigation efficiency
 - I. Scheduling
 - a) Climate data
 - b) Soil water status
 - II. Improve Water Distribution



Turfgrass Irrigation Requirement Las Cruces, NM (2005 – 2009)





Turfgrass Irrigation

Las Cruces			GCSAA Survey (Gelernter et al., 2015)
Cool-season		50"	
Warm-season		38″	46.4
_	Grass Type	1000 ft ²	1 acre
	WS	23,500 gal	3.1 acre feet
	CS	31,100 gal	4.1 acre feet



Turfgrass Irrigation Requirement

- $IR = \sum (A, ETo, ISe, Wq, Kc)$
- A: Area under irrigation
- ETo: (reference) Evapotranspiration
- ISe: Irrigation System Efficiency
- Wq: Water Quality
- Kc: Crop coefficient

- $f_{(Kc)}$ Sp, TQ, GDD, PAW, Mi
 - SP: Species
 - TQ: Turf quality
 - GDD: Growing Degree Days
 - PAW: Plant available water
 - Mi: Management Intensity

Irrigation Water Use > Irrigation Water Requirement



Irrigation Water Requirement

$$\mathbf{WR} = \frac{ET_o \cdot K_C \cdot A}{DU \cdot E_{WM} \cdot C_U} \qquad \text{without rainf}$$

all

$$WR = \frac{\left[(ET_o \cdot K_C) - R_E \right] \cdot A}{DU \cdot E_{WM} \cdot C_U}$$

with effective rainfall

- WR = Water Requirement
- EΤ Reference Evapotranspiration =
- K_C Landscape Coefficient =
- А Area =C

=

=

 E_{WM}

 R_E

All About Discovery

New Mexico State U

aces.nmsu.edi

- **Conversion Factor** =
- DU Distribution Uniformity =
 - Management Efficiency
 - Effective Rainfall

(Irrigation Association, 2001)

Irrigation Water Requirement (2)



WR	=	Water Requirement
EΤ _o	=	Reference Evapotranspiration
K _C	=	Landscape Coefficient
DU	=	Distribution Uniformity
E _{WM}	=	Management Efficiency 7
А	=	Area under Irrigation - Constants
CU	=	Conversion Factor

(Irrigation Association, 2001)



Strategies for Irrigation Water Conservation

 $WR = \frac{ET_o \cdot K_C \cdot A}{DU}$

- 1. Artificial Turf
- 2. Reduce area under irrigation
- 3. Irrigation with recycled/impaired water
- 4. Reduce turf ET
 - I. Use of low water use <u>turfgrass</u> species
 - **II. Plant Growth Regulators**

- 5. Accept quality reduction
- 6. Increase irrigation efficiency
 - I. Scheduling
 - a) Climate data
 - b) Soil water status
 - II. Improve Water Distribution
 - a) Irrigation technology
 - b) Soil surfactants



Strategies for Irrigation Water Conservation

- 1. Artificial Turf
- 2. Reduce area under irrigation
- Irrigation with recycled/impaired water
- 4. Use of low water use <u>turfgrass</u> species

- 5. Accept quality reduction
- 6. Increase irrigation efficiency
 - I. Scheduling
 - a) Climate data
 - b) Soil water status
 - II. Improve Water Distribution



Irrigation Audit



- Determine amount of water per irrigation cycle
- Determine irrigation distribution / efficiency (DU)
- DU should be greater >0.7



Irrigation Efficiency

- Mecham (2004): Summary of uniformity data from over 6800 irrigation audits (Utah, Nevada, Colorado, Arizona, Texas, Oregon, and Florida)
- Average DU of 0.5



The amount of irrigation water doubles compared to what "the grass plant needs" to maintain an adequate quality level











SUBIRRIGATION (SBI)

- Line source system
- Irrigate and drain through <u>one</u> pipe system
- Subgrade sealed by plastic barrier (optional) "bath tub" analogy
- Sand or sandy rootzone mix
- 30 40 cm (12" 16") deep
- PAT-System, Cellsystem, EPIC,



ECS / EPIC System



Turf Construction Structural cross section Details





EPIC System





Research area: 4000 m² 43,000 ft² Plot size: 17 m x 17 m 55 ft x 55 ft









USGA – Peat (Drip)

ECS - Sand



Summary

- SBI turf showed higher quality
- SBI turf showed less LDS
- SBI turf had lower irrigation requirement
- SBI turf is more drought resistant than sprinkler irrigated turf, it uses water more efficiently, thereby needing less water





Subsurface Drip Irrigation for Turfgrass Areas











Products	Parts	Where To Buy	Customer Support	About Us	Search	0
Home > Profe	ssional Contrac	tor) Imgation) Lands:	ape Drip Components DL200	0® Series PC Dripline	Follow Us Dn: 🛐 📓	Share: 🔄 🖨

Irrigation

Spray Heads

Spray Head Nozzles

Rotors

Landscape Drip Components

⇒ Drip Bubblers

- = DL2000@ Series PC Dripline
- = Drip In@ PC Brown Dripline
- = Blue Stripe B Distribution Hose
- ∞ NGE® PC Emitters
- = Turbo-SC Plus® PC Emitters

» E-28 Classic (Flag) Emitters

- » Multi-putiet Manifold
- = Friters
- = Pressure Regulators
- = Drip Zone Valve Kits
- Loc-Exe (§) Fittings and Accessories

Controllers

Sensors

Central Control Systems

Valves

DL2000® Series PC Dripline

- No filters to change or chemically treated disks to handle
- Irrigation takes place at or below grade so there is minimal water loss due to mist, evaporation, runoff or wind
- Ideal for shrub areas, median strips, public recreation areas and parking islands
- Seven-year warranty against root intrusion



HOME RIGLISH (UNITED STATES) (CHANGE)

2+1 Like 0





Homeowners Professionals Golf Agriculture Online Store

You Are Here: Home > Landscape Irrigation > Products > Drip Tubing & Distribution Components > XFS Sub-Surface Dripline

XFS Subsurface Dripline

With Copper Shield[™] Technology

Rain Bird® XFS Dripline with Copper Shield[™] for sub-surface drip irrigation is the latest innovation in the Rain Bird Xerigation® Family, Rain Bird's patentpending Copper Shield Technology protects the emitter from root intrusion. creating a long-lasting, low maintenance sub-surface drip irrigation system for use under turf grass or shrub and groundcover areas.





2010 Irrigation Show Award Winner Best New Product for Turf/Landscape





ABOUT SUSTAINABILITY NEWS EVENTS TESTIMONIALS IMAGE LIBRARY CONTACT US Q

NETAFIM[™] AGRICULTURE LANDSCAPE & TURF GREENHOUSE & NURSERY WASTEWATER MINING RECYCLING I Y D in



LANDSCAPE

- ▶ HOME
- ► CATALOG
- ▼ PRODUCTS
- Driplines
- Techline[®] HCVXR Techline[®] HCVXR-RW and RWP Techline[®] CV Techline[®] RW and RWP Techline[®] DL Techline[®] EZ 17mm Dripline Fittings TechLock Fittings 12mm Dripline Fittings Techfilter Systems **Líneas de Goteo**
- Dripline Components
- Filters
- Valves
- Water Meters
- Controllers
- Point Source Components
- DRIP SOLUTIONS

Home / Landscape & Turf / Products / Driplines / Techline® HCVXR

Techline[®] HCVXR

Overview

Technical Ordering Resources



Techline[®] HCVXR (17mm Dripline)

A revolutionary new dripline which provides superior root intrusion resistance. It's also the longest lasting solution that continues to function even after years of use because Cupron[®]copper oxide is infused in the material used to make the emitter. In addition to the copper oxide, Techline HCVXR has a unique patented emitter design with physical root barrier for even more root intrusion protection.







and the second					Say	PRODUCTS	
and the second				And the second second		ALL PRODUCTS	
	小校 理由					ROTORS	+
					P. S. A. T.	ST SYSTEM	+
S. Contraction	AL NO WING				Sint 1	MP ROTATOR	+
		Sand Street	000	5	there -+	NOZZLES	+
			Vier			SPRAY BODIES	+
					E"	CONTROLLERS	+
)	SENSORS	+
			-			REMOTES	+
						SOFTWARE	+
UNMATCH	IED UNIFORM	ITY AND WA	TER SAVII	VGS	SUPPORT	VALVES	+
						MICRO IRRIGATION	+
VIDEOS	OVERVIEW	MODELS	SPECS	DOCUMENTS	PHOTOS	ACCESSORIES	+
						TOOLS	
FCO-MAT SI	JBSURFACE IRRIG	ATION: HOW TO	INSTALL ECO-	-MAT			



SUBSURFACE DRIP IRRIGATION (SDI)

Typical design:

- 4" (10 cm) depth
- 1' (30 cm) spacing





All About Discovery!

aces.nmsu.edu

New Mexico State University

Market acceptance – Concerns:

- Performance / Longevity
- Saline water irrigation
- Establishment
- Maintenance (e.g. Fertilization, Pesticides)





1) Performance of Warm and Cool-Season Grasses under Subsurface Drip and Sprinkler Irrigation

	Warm Season	Cool Season
Species	Bermudagrass; Seashore paspalum; Inland saltgrass; Zoysiagrass;	Alkaligrass; Red fescue; Tall fescue; Perennial ryegrass;
Soil / Installation	Sandy loam; 10 cm depth, 30 cm betwe	en lines (and emitters)
Irrigation	Precision Porous Pipes; Tor MP Rotator; Toro Precision	o DL2000 ™ Series
	100% ET _o ; 50% ET _o	120% ET _o
Water Quality	Potable; Saline I (TDS 1280 Saline II (1800 ppm, SAR 4.	ppm, SAR 6.4); 0); Saline III (2000 ppm, SAR 8.8)



.. 2011

Warm season grasses

- EC, Na, or SAR did not affect turf quality
- Turf quality: Seashore paspalum > Bermudagrass
- Drip irrigation resulted in earlier green-up than sprinkler irrigation but had no effect on summer quality or fall color retention

Cool season grasses

- Changes in soil EC, Na content, and SAR reflected seasonal changes in irrigation and natural precipitation
- Greatest EC and Na values were reached on drip irrigated plots at depths of 0 – 10 cm
- Only tall fescue could be maintained at acceptable quality when irrigated with saline water
- More than one stressor affected quality

Results



Sevostianova et al., 2011







All About Discowy Vostianova et al., 2011 New Mexico State University aces.nmsu.edu









Irrigation effect on brown patch (Rhizoctonia sp.) occurrence





2) Establishment of Warm and Cool-Season Grasses under Subsurface Drip and Sprinkler Irrigation

	Warm Season		Cool Season
Species	Bermudagrass 'Princ Seashore paspalum 'Sea Spray'	ess 77'	Tall fescue 'Justice' Kentucky bluegrass 'Barduke'
Seeding	Mar and Jun 2008 ar	nd 2009	Sep 2009 and Oct 2010
Irrigation	Toro DL2000 MP Rotator / Toro Precision [™] Seri 100% ETo	ies	Membrane covered drip system (KISSS America) Toro Precision [™] Series 120% ETo
Water Quality		Potable Saline (1800	ppm, SAR 4.0)



Summary

Warm-season grasses

- Early planting will establish warm season grasses quickly and successfully
- Saline water can be used in combination with sprinkler and drip irrigation for establishment (both seed and sod)
- Warm season grasses establish best under drip irrigation when seeded or sodded early

Cool-season grasses

- CS establishment was successful in both years
- Spacing between drip lines needs to be carefully evaluated
- Salinity problems may arise for CS grasses if subsurface drip is used with saline water

Schiavon et al., 2012; 2013; Serena et al., 2014

3) Fertilization of Warm – Season Grasses under Subsurface Drip and Sprinkler Irrigation

	Warm Season	
Species	Bermudagrass; Seashore paspalum;	
Soil / Installation	Sandy loam; 10 cm depth, 30 cm between lines (and emitters)	
Irrigation	Toro DL2000; MP Rotator; Toro Precision™ Series	80% ET _o
Water Quality	Potable; Saline (TDS 1900 ppm, SAR 6);	
Fertilizer	Urea 46-0-0- granular (15 days); Urea foliar (15 days); Burley Green 18-2-3 (every 15 days); CoRoN 28-0-0 (every 45 days); Granular slow release 20-4-8 (every 45 days)	


Results: Green up (75% green cover)



References (1)

- Schiavon, M., B. Leinauer, M. Serena, B. Maier, and R. Sallenave. 2014. Plant Growth Regulator and Soil Surfactants' Effects on Saline and Deficit Irrigated Warm-season Grasses: I. Turf Quality, Color Retention, and Soil Moisture Distribution. <u>Crop Science</u> doi: 10.2135/cropsci2013.10.0707
- Schiavon, M., B. Leinauer, M. Serena, B. Maier, and R. Sallenave. 2014. Plant Growth Regulator and Soil Surfactants' Effects on Saline and Deficit Irrigated Warm-season Grasses: II. Pigment Content and Superoxide Dismutase Activity. <u>Crop Science</u>. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2013.10.0708
- Ganjegunte, G., B. Leinauer, M. Schiavon, and M. Serena. 2013. Using Electro-Magnetic Induction to Determine Soil Salinity and Sodicity in Turf Root Zones. <u>Agronomy Journal</u> 105:836–844.
- Sevostianova, E., B. Leinauer, R. Sallenave, D. Karcher, and B. Maier. 2011. Soil Salinity and Quality of Sprinkler and Drip Irrigated Warm-Season Turfgrasses. <u>Agronomy Journal</u> 103:1773-1784.
- Sevostianova, E., B. Leinauer, R. Sallenave, D. Karcher, and B. Maier. 2011. Soil Salinity and Quality of Sprinkler and Drip Irrigated Cool-Season Turfgrasses. <u>Agronomy Journal</u> 103:1503-1513
- Schiavon, M., B. Leinauer, E. Sevostianova, M. Serena, and B. Maier. 2011. Warm-season turfgrass quality, spring green-up, and fall color retention under drip irrigation. Online.
 <u>Applied Turfgrass Science</u> doi:10.1094/ATS-2011-0422-01-RS.



References (2)

- Serena, M., B. Leinauer, M. Schiavon, B. Maier, and R. Sallenave. 2014. Establishment and Rooting Response of Bermudagrass Propagated with Saline Water and Subsurface Irrigation. <u>Crop Science 54</u>: 827-836.
- Schiavon, M., B. Leinauer, M. Serena, R. Sallenave, and B. Maier. 2013. Establishing tall fescue and Kentucky bluegrass using subsurface irrigation and saline water. <u>Agronomy Journal</u> 105:183-190.
- Schiavon, M., B. Leinauer, M. Serena, R. Sallenave, and B. Maier. 2012. Bermudagrass and Seashore Paspalum Establishment from Seed Using Differing Irrigation Methods and Water Qualities. <u>Agronomy Journal</u> 104:706-714.
- Schiavon, M., M. Serena, R. Sallenave, B. Leinauer, and J. Baird. 2015. Seeding Date and Irrigation System Effects on Establishment of Warm-Season Turfgrasses. <u>Agronomy Journal</u>, 107(3):880-886.
- Sevostianova, E. and B. Leinauer. 2014. Subsurface-Applied Tailored Water: Combining Nutrient Benefits with Efficient Turfgrass Irrigation. <u>Crop Science 54</u>:1926-1938. doi:10.2135/cropsci2014.01.0014
- Serena, M., M. Schiavon, R. Sallenave, and B. Leinauer. 2017. Nitrogen fertilization of warm-season turfgrasses irrigated with saline water from varying irrigation systems. 1. Quality, spring green up, and fall color retention. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Agronomy and Crop Science</u> 204:252-264.
- Serena, M., M. Schiavon, R. Sallenave, and B. Leinauer. 2017. Nitrogen fertilization of warm-season turfgrasses irrigated with saline water from varying irrigation systems. 2. Carbohydrate and protein content. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 204:265-273.



System Installation









System Installation





Filter





All About Discovery! ™ New Mexico State University aces.nmsu.edu Installation (home lawn)



Clogged Filter

24 hours in CLR

4 years irrigation with potable water



Installation and Maintenance





Problems

- Planning
- Installation
- Filtration
- Root intrusion
- Manufacturing
- Maintenance





Project: Las Campanas, NM

Problem: Overspray





Las Campanas, NM

- Santa Fe, NM 7,000 ft elevation
- 14" average precipitation
- 36 holes
- Budget constraints
- Irrigation water conservation
- 2015 decision to install SDI
- Supported by USGA, Hunter, Netafim, Rainbird, Toro





Materials and Methods

- 14 tee boxes (back tees): 240 760 ft²
- USGA type construction/rootzone
- Creeping bentgrass + annual bluegrass
- Mowing height

- Hunter ECO-MAT (0.6 gl hr⁻¹)
- Netafim XCVXR (0.53 gl hr⁻¹)
- Rainbird XFS (0.42 gl hr⁻¹)
- Toro DL 2000 (0.5 gl hr⁻¹)
- 2 controls (DU 0.69 and 0.79)
- 5 inches deep
- Trenching vs. sod removal



Installation April 28th 2016





Sod removal

Trenching into existing turf



Installation April 26 – Photo taken August 5th



Problem: Drip lines installed too deep



August 5th 2016

October 5th 2016



Las Campanas, Tee #6





Due to publicity and great success, Hunter and Netafim SDI were added to the test in 2017



All About Discovery! ™ New Mexico State University aces.nmsu.edu

ΤМ









All About Discovery! ™ New Mexico State University aces.nmsu.edu

Keeping up with the maintenance





aces.nmsu.edu



Conclusions

- 1) Subsurface drip irrigation can be used to irrigate turf efficiently
- 2) also in combination with saline water
- 3) is a viable alternative to traditional sprinkler systems if installed, monitored, and maintained properly
- 4) More education and public outreach needed to promote technology







On-site Assessment of PVC Installations

Larry Workman

Expert4PVC Consulting

Focus



Solvent Joints



Threaded Joints



Identification



Storage



Training



Health Hazard

▲ ASIC

Things to look for:

• Evidence of primer (purple, blue, or

1-step)

∆ ASIC

- Filling of gap between pipe and fitting
- Misalignment of joint
- Snaking of pipeline in trench



Solvent Welding

- Correct type and viscosity for sizes and schedules
- Are applicators the proper size
- Are installers trained

AASIC

Threaded Joints

- Transition joints MUST be Plastic Male \rightarrow Metal Female
- Teflon tape / dope is **NOT RECOMMENDED**
- Use non-hardening sealant compatible with both materials and system
 - NSF Listed

▲ ASIC

• Oxygen/gas systems (if applicable)



Training of installation crews

- Specify a training session for crew members
 - Not just supervisors; but installers!

(The guys in the trench)

A ASIC

• Provided by Pipe, Fitting or Cement manufacturer representatives

Product Identification

- PVC Pipe has ID printing approximately every foot
- Fittings must have a "NSF" and "ASTM" spec.
- Accessories must have "NSF" mark and pressure

rating

▲ ASIC



Storage

- Open storage
 - Pipe and fittings can easily reach 150°F above ambient
- Container Storage

▲ ASIC

- Internal temperatures can exceed 200°F
- Stacks of fitting can lead to deformation and warping



Pressure Rating

- PVC fittings **DO NOT** have a pressure rating
 - Generally assumed to correspond to Schedule 40 or 80 pipe
 - However; irrigation should use 50% of the pipe pressure rating

(due to surges within the systems)

- Flanges valves and Specialty fittings are generally rated at 150 psi
 - They do NOT corresponding to the pipe ratings
 - Different test methods

Health Hazards

- Flour, sugar & salt do not leach from the batter after cooking
 - Neither does VC monomer after polymerized
- Green and Black olives
 - The use of lye when curing olives
- Cassava (tapioca)
 - If prepared incorrectly produces cyanide

PVC is not a problem!

▲ ASIC

- As evidence, it is commonly used in
 - IV tubing, oxygen lines etc. in the medical field
 - Most wallpaper, imitation leather

NSF listed PVC pipe & fittings

Are commonly used for water systems

- Potable water
- Deionized water
- Reverse Osmosis systems
- Process water

∆ ASIC

• Should NOT be used or TESTED with compressed air or gasses

Thank You

Larry Workman Expert4PVC Consulting www.Expert4pvc.com





MASTER PLANNING PROJECT SHOWCASE

Presenters:

Jeff Bruce, Doug Macdonald & Steve Hohl





MASTER PLANNING At the Molecular Level

Washington University East Campus



SOURCE: MICHAEL VERGASON LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS


SOURCE: MICHAEL VERGASON LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS









BAUHAUS AND COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE COMMENCEMENT



٤.	Tree Canopy	6.	Stage	11.	Camival Rides
2.	Picnic Seating	7.	Support Avea/Beckstage	12	School of Architecture Commencement
3.	Folding Chair Seating	8.	Faculty Senting	13.	Bauhaus
4.	Upper Deck	2.	Graduate Seating		
5.	Speakers/Equipment	10.	Facades.		

SOURCE: SASAKI ASSOCIATES



Soil profiles



Low to Medium Programmed Use Turf Soil Profile



High Programmed Use Turf Soil Profile (fiber reinforced)



Shrub Soil Profile



Bio-Retention Planting Soil Profile





Structural Soil Profile

Tree Planting Soil Profile

Saturated vs unsaturated flow



Saturated Flow

Unsaturated Flow

Unsaturated flow



Source: C. R. Dixon & associates

Saturated flow events

18 minutes in 90 days



Soil Moisture Dynamic



1.08 inches of rain

Passive Water Harvesting



Profile mock-up





Profile 1



3 Hour Simulation



Profile 2 3 Hour Simulation





24 Hour Simulation

Unsaturated flow dynamics





Source: Jeffrey L Bruce & company

Stormwater function



175,000 SF

525,000 CF soil volume

This equates to 1,570,905 gallons or 4.83 acre-feet, or 58 acre-inches of storage over the parking facility.







Owner:

Town of Gilbert, Arizona

Direct Client (Prime Consultant): Kimley Horn & Associates, Inc. Phoenix, Arizona

Location:

South Higley Road & East Queen Creek Road, Approximately 23 miles southeast of Phoenix



Project Background Information

- Site Parameters:
 - 317 acre site
 - 270 acres FCDMC basin (flood control)
 - 47 acres Town of Gilbert property
- Project Intent:

∆ ASIC

- Master Planning for a Regional Park Amenity
- Gain Public Support for Bond Funding



Project Background Information

- Site Programming/Amenities (from public input process):
 - Active-use Turfgrass Sports Fields
 - Passive-use Turfgrass Recreation Areas
 - Pedestrian and Biking Trails
 - Dog Park

▲ ASIC

- Amphitheater
- Picnic Ramadas/Tot Lots
- Community Fishing/Irrigation Storage Lake



Project Coordination Efforts

- FCDMC coordination
 - Use restrictions
 - Equipment protection
 - Public safety parameters
- Team coordination
 - Site amenity space planning
 - Location/Layouts\
- Three Prelim Concepts > One Final Master Plan



"Flood control remains the primary purpose of the basin and the Town's uses may not materially reduce, diminish, or alter the flood control features of the basin or the capturing, storing, and conveying flood and stormwater." —2015 IGA

∆ ASIC

IRRIGATION SUPPLY AND DEMAND MODELING



▲ ASIC

Supply and Demand Modeling

- Turfgrass Area Calculations
 - Percentage of Landscape Area
 - Peak Season Daily Demand
- Evaporative Loss from Lake (5 acre)
- Daily Water Window Constraints
 - Avoid Public Use Conflicts
- Weekly Watering Day Constraints
 - Site Maintenance/Mowing

A ASIC

Aqua Engineering, Inc. 375 E. Horsetooth Rd, Bldg 2-202 Fort Collins, CO 80525-3196 February 15, 2016 Project Name: Gilbert New Regional Park Location: Gilbert, AZ					Aqua Engin	eering Inc.
Prepared By: CBK/DGM		Percentage of I 100%	rrigated Turfgras 75%	ss at Site 50%	25%	Lake
AREA, acres		272.00	204.00	136.00	68.00	5.00
PEAR SEASON DESIGN		0.26 (3)	0.26 (4)	0.26 (5)	0.26 (6)	
OPERATING LOSS inches	(1)	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.20	
TOTAL DAILY APPLICATION REQUIREMENT inches		0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.42
TOTAL DAILY APPLICATION REQUIREMENT, acre*ft		7.74	5.80	3.87	1.93	0.18
TOTAL DAILY APPLICATION REQUIREMENT, gallons		2,521,086	1.890.815	1,260,543	630.272	57.374
SEASONAL PLANT WATER REQUIREMENTS, inches		57.4	57.4	57.4	57.4	
SEASONAL EFFECTIVE PRECIPITATION, inches	(7)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL SEASONAL IRRIGATION APPLICATION, inches	(1)	57.4	57.4	57.4	57.4	0.0
TOTAL SEASONAL IRRIGATION APPLICATION, acre*ft		1300.7	975.5	650.4	325.2	39.3
TOTAL SEASONAL IRRIGATION APPLICATION, gallons		423,837,910	317,879,000	211,918,000	105,961,000	12,813,973
IRRIGATION FLOW REQUIREMENT WITH	(2)					
AN IRRIGATION WINDOW OF 6 HOURS, 6 DAYS A WEEK (gpm)		10213	7660	5106	2553	
IRRIGATION FLOW REQUIREMENT WITH	(2)					
AN IRRIGATION WINDOW OF 8 HOURS, 6 DAYS A WEEK (gpm)		7660	5745	3830	1915	
IRRIGATION FLOW REQUIREMENT WITH						
AN IRRIGATION WINDOW OF 10 HOURS, 6 DAYS A WEEK (gpm)	(2)	6128	4596	3064	1532	
NOTES:						
	DE	700/				
IRRIGATION SYSTEM APPLICATION EFFICIENCY IS ASSUMED TO IRRIGATION SYSTEM TAP UTILIZATION EFFICIENCY IS ASSUMED TAP UTILIZATION EFFICIENCY IS DEFINED AS THE AVERAGE DE	BE TO	75%. BE 80%. N FLOW/AVERAG	E AVAII ABI E FI	ow		

- 3 PEAK SEASON PLANT WATER REQUIREMENT OF 0.26 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR
- AND IS BASED ON Enter literature source here DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 80%.
- 4 PEAK SEASON IRRIGATION REQUIREMENT OF 0.26 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR 0.75
- AND IS BASED ON Enter literature source here DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 80%
- AND IS BASED ON Enter literature source here DATA AND A CROP COFFEICIENT OF 80%
- 6 PEAK SEASON IRRIGATION REQUIREMENT OF 0.26 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR 0.25
- AND IS BASED ON Enter literature source here DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 80%
- 7 A SEASONAL PRECIPITATION OF 6.4-INCHES IS USED AND IS BASED ON Enter literature source here DATA
- PRECIPITATION IS ASSUMED TO BE 0% EFFECTIVE.

Supply and Demand Modeling

- Landscape Water Demand per Acre
 - Active-use Turfgrass
 - Passive-use Turfgrass

A ASIC

- Desert Planting Canopy
- Enabled Demand Calculations for Several Landscape Concepts

T A B L E 1: PEAK SEASON DESIGN AN	ND ANNUAL WATER REC	QUIREMENTS			
Aqua Engineering, Inc. 375 E. Horsetooth Rd, Bldg 2-202 Fort Collins, CO 80525-3196 May 5, 2016 Project Name: GILBERT-CHBP Location: Gilbert, Arizona Prepared By; CBK				Aqua Engine	ering Inc.
		Sport Turf	Turf	Plantings	Totals
AREA , acres PEAK SEASON DESIGN		1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00
PLANT WATER REQUIREMENT inches/day		0.32 []	0.26 (4)	0.16 (5)	0.75
OPERATING LOSS inches	(9)	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.10
TOTAL DAILY APPLICATION REQUIREMENT, inches		0.41	0.32	0.20	0.93
TOTAL DAILY APPLICATION REQUIREMENT, acre t		0.03	0.03	0.02	0.08
TOTAL DAILY APPLICATION REQUIREMENT, gallons		11,003	8,802	5,501	25,300
SEASONAL PLANT WATER REQUIREMENTS, inches		69.8	55.9	34.9	160.6
SEASONAL EFFECTIVE PRECIPITATION, inches	(1)	3.8	3.8	3.8	15.0
TOTAL SEASONAL IRRIGATION APPLICATION, inches	(1)	82.6	65.1	39.0	182.0
TOTAL SEASONAL IRRIGATION APPLICATION, acre ft		6,9	5.4	3.3	15.6
TOTAL SEASONAL IRRIGATION APPLICATION, gallons		2,243,044	1,769,000	1,059,000	5,071,044
IRRIGATION FLOW REQUIREMENT WITH	.(2)				
AN IRRIGATION WINDOW OF 6 HOURS, 6 DAYS A WEEK (gpm)		48	38	24	109
IRRIGATION FLOW REQUIREMENT WITH	181				
AN IRRIGATION WINDOW OF 8 HOURS, 6 DAYS A WEEK (gpm)		36	29	18	82
IRRIGATION FLOW REQUIREMENT WITH					
AN IRRIGATION WINDOW OF 10 HOURS, 6 DAYS A WEEK (gpm)	(2)	29	23	14	66

NOTES:

1 IRRIGATION SYSTEM APPLICATION EFFICIENCY IS ASSUMED TO BE 80%

2 IRRIGATION SYSTEM TAP UTILIZATION EFFICIENCY IS ASSUMED TO BE 75%.

TAP UTILIZATION EFFICIENCY IS DEFINED AS THE AVERAGE DESIGN FLOW/AVERAGE AVAILABLE FLOW.

- 3 PEAK SEASON PLANT WATER REQUIREMENT OF 0.32 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR Sport Turf
- AND IS BASED ON World Water for Agriculture DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 100%.
- 4 PEAK SEASON IRRIGATION REQUIREMENT OF 0.26 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR Turf
- AND IS BASED ON World Water for Agriculture DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 80%.
- 5 PEAK SEASON IRRIGATION REQUIREMENT OF 0.16 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR Plantings AND IS BASED ON World Water for Agriculture DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 50%
- 6 PEAK SEASON IRRIGATION REQUIREMENT OF 0.00 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR Plant Material D
- AND IS BASED ON World Water for Agriculture DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 0%.
- 7 A SEASONAL PRECIPITATION OF 7.5-INCHES IS USED AND IS BASED ON World Water for Agriculture DATA
- PRECIPITATION IS ASSUMED TO BE 50% EFFECTIVE.

Supply and Demand Modeling

Apply Water Demand Model to Several Landscape Concepts

FIGURE 3 - PRELIMINARY IRRIGATION WATER USE SUMMARY

BY: JHK/EGK DATE: 3-14-2016

= Input Required

INPUT:

Note: Below tabular information is in the Water Use per Acre spreadsheet

Landssona Tuna	Book Domand por Acro (CDN/(Acro)	Peak Daily Requirement per Acre	Seasonal Irrigation Requirement per	
Landscape Type	Feak Demand per Acre (GFM/Acre)	(Gallons/Day per Acre)	Acre (Acre-Feet per Acre)	
Ballfields	35	11,586	6.0	
Turf Areas	28	9,269	4.8	
Plantings	18	5,793	3.0	



OUTPUT

UT:		Irriga	ted Areas (ad	cres)**	Peak Demand	Peak Daily Requirement*	Seasonal Requirement*	Lake Area	Usable Pond Storage**	Days of Storage for Current Lake
	Landscape Concept	Ballfields	Turf Areas	Plantings	(GPM)	(Gallons/Day)	(Acre-Feet per Year)	(Acres)	(Acre-Ft)	Concept*
	1	24.8	45.2	36.9	2,794	1,085,404	571.7	15.46	107.4	32
	2	40.3	13.7	41.6	2,535	967,107	508.4	12.4	85.0	29
	3	18.0	39.5	32.8	2,323	993,446	528.8	21.34	155.2	51

*Including evaporation from lake

**Calculated using CAD tools (Areas.dwg)

Pond Storage Requirement for the Followings Days of Storage (Acre-Ft):					
2	3	5	7	10	14
6.7	10.0	16.7	23.3	33.3	46.6
5.9	8.9	14.8	20.8	29.7	41.6
6.1	9.1	15.2	21.3	30.5	42.7

▲ ASIC

Supply and Demand Modeling

- Apply Water Demand Model to Selected Landscape Master Plan
 - Total Peak Season Daily Demand
 - Total Anticipated Annual Demand
 - Total Irrigation Flow Demand
 - Evaporative Loss from Lake
- Determine which water source(s) can meet demand

A ASIC

Aqua Engineering, Inc. 375 E. Horsetooth Rd, Bldg 2-202 Fort Collins, CO 80525-3196 May 4, 2016 Project Name: GILBERT-CHBP Location: Gilbert, Arizona Prepared By: RJP				Aqua Engine	eering Inc.
		Sport Turl	Turf	Plantings	Totals
AREA , acres PEAK SEASON DESIGN		26.44	48.43	12.00	86.87
PLANT WATER REQUIREMENT, inches/day		0.32 (3)	0.26 (4)	0.16 (5)	0.75
OPERATING LOSS, inches	(4)	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.19
TOTAL DAILY APPLICATION REQUIREMENT, inches		0.41	0.32	0.20	0.93
TOTAL DAILY APPLICATION REQUIREMENT, acre ft		0.89	1.31	0.20	2.40
TOTAL DAILY APPLICATION REQUIREMENT, gallons		290,901	426,312	66,015	783,228
SEASONAL PLANT WATER REQUIREMENTS, inches		69.8	55.9	34.9	160.6
SEASONAL EFFECTIVE PRECIPITATION, inches	(7)	3.8	3.8	3.8	15.0
TOTAL SEASONAL IRRIGATION APPLICATION, inches	(1)	82.6	65.1	39.0	182.0
TOTAL SEASONAL IRRIGATION APPLICATION, acre*ft		182.0	262.9	39.0	483.9
TOTAL SEASONAL IRRIGATION APPLICATION, gallons		59,304,868	85,673,000	12,892,000	157,669,868
IRRIGATION FLOW REQUIREMENT WITH	(2)				
AN IRRIGATION WINDOW OF 6 HOURS, 6 DAYS A WEEK (gpm)		1257	1842	285	3384
IRRIGATION FLOW REQUIREMENT WITH	(2)				
AN IRRIGATION WINDOW OF 8 HOURS, 6 DAYS A WEEK (gpm)		943	1382	214	2538
IRRIGATION FLOW REQUIREMENT WITH					
AN IRRIGATION WINDOW OF 10 HOURS, 6 DAYS A WEEK (gpm)	(2)	754	1105	171	2031

NOTES

- 1 IRRIGATION SYSTEM APPLICATION EFFICIENCY IS ASSUMED TO BE 80%
- 2 IRRIGATION SYSTEM TAP UTILIZATION EFFICIENCY IS ASSUMED TO BE 75
- TAP UTILIZATION EFFICIENCY IS DEFINED AS THE AVERAGE DESIGN FLOW/AVERAGE AVAILABLE FLOW.
- 3 PEAK SEASON PLANT WATER REQUIREMENT OF 0.32 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR Sport Tur
- AND IS BASED ON World Water for Agriculture DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 100%
- 4 PEAK SEASON IRRIGATION REQUIREMENT OF 0.26 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR Turf AND IS BASED ON World Water for Agriculture DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 80%.
- 5 PEAK SEASON IRRIGATION REQUIREMENT OF 0.16 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR Plantings
- AND IS BASED ON World Water for Agriculture DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 50%
- 6 PEAK SEASON IRRIGATION REQUIREMENT OF 0.00 IN/DAY IS ASSUMED FOR Plant Material D
- AND IS BASED ON World Water for Agriculture DATA AND A CROP COEFFICIENT OF 0%.
- 7 A SEASONAL PRECIPITATION OF 7.5-INCHES IS USED AND IS BASED ON World Water for Agriculture DATA PRECIPITATION IS ASSUMED TO BE 50% EFFECTIVE.

IRRIGATION WATER SOURCE MASTER PLANNING



∆ ASIC

Water Source Master Planning

- Identifying Most Viable Source or Combination of Sources
 - Currently Available
 - Consistent Supply
 - Acceptable Water Quality
 - Cost (Initial and Long Term)
 - Future Value to Town

▲ ASIC



Project Coordination Efforts

- Water source options
 - Potable Water (Gilbert Muni)
 - Reclaimed Water (Greenfield WTP)
 - Raw Water (SRP & RWCD)
 - Well Water (Gilbert & ADWR)
 - Any of the above in combination...

▲ ASIC



Potable Water Source Research

- Potable Water Source Pros
 - Infrastructure Available
 - Pressurized for Direct Use
 - High Water Quality
- Potable Water Source Cons
 - Expensive

A ASIC

- Subject to Water Use Restrictions
 - ADWR Third Management Plan

2019 NATIONAL CONFERENCE

modifications to the list. This requirement shall not apply to any expanded portion of a cemetery in operation as of December 31, 1984 or substantially commenced as of December 31, 1984 if the expanded portion of the cemetery was under the same ownership as the cemetery as of December 31, 1984.

6-303. Calculation of Maximum Annual Water Allotment for Turf-Related Facilities that are not Golf Courses

For each calendar year, the maximum annual water allotment for a turf-related facility that is not a golf course shall be calculated by multiplying the number of acres in existence within the facility during the calendar year in each of the categories listed in Table 6-303-1 by the applicable application rate for each category listed in Table 6-303-1 and then adding together the products plus any allotment additions allowed under section 6-306.

If turf acres, low water use landscaped area, or total water surface area are removed from a facility during the third management period, the maximum annual allotment for the facility shall be equal to the allotment calculated for the facility pursuant to this section as if the acres had not been removed.

TURF-RELA From 2002 unt	TABLE 6-303-1 APPLICATION RATES FOR TED FACILITIES THAT ARE NOT GOLF COURSES il the first compliance date for any substitute requirement in the Fourth Management Plan
Type of Landscaping:	Application rate: (acre-feet per acre per calendar year)
1. Turfacres	4.9
2. Total water surface ar	ea 6.2
3. Low water use landsca	aped area 1.5

6-304. Calculation of Maximum Annual Water Allotment for Pre-1985 Golf Courses

A. Pre-1985 Golf Courses that are not Regulation Golf Courses

For each calendar year, the maximum annual water allotment for a pre-1985 golf course that is not a regulation golf course shall be calculated by multiplying the number of acres in existence within the facility during the calendar year in each of the categories listed in Table 6-304-1 by the applicable application rate for each category listed in Table 6-304-1, subject to the limitations set forth in footnote 1 in that table, and then adding together the products plus any allotment additions allowed under section 6-306.

Phoenix AMA 6-38

Reclaimed Water Source Research

- Reclaimed Water Source Pros
 - Infrastructure Available
 - Pressurized for Direct Use or On-site Storage
 - High Water Quality (A+)
 - Less Expensive than Potable
 - ADWR supplementary allowance
- Reclaimed Water Source Cons

▲ ASIC

- Shared Use between Three Municipalities affects future supply
- Lower Availability during Peak Season



Raw Water Source Research

- Raw Water Source Pros
 - RWCD Canal near Site
 - Acceptable Water Quality
 - Less Expensive than Potable/Reclaimed
- Raw Water Source Cons
 - Site is outside of RWCD Service Boundary
 - No Existing Infrastructure to Site
 - Not Pressurized

▲ ASIC

- Leased Water not Guaranteed
- Less Control/Ongoing Coordination Required



Well Water Source Research

- Well Water Source Pros
 - Off-site Infrastructure Independence
 - Pressurized for Direct Use or On-site Storage via Well Pump
 - Acceptable Water Quality
- Well Water Source Cons

▲ ASIC

- Subject to Available Aquifer Credit Balance & Allocation Strategies
- On-site Infrastructure Expense, Permitting, ADWR approvals



Water Source Master Planning

- Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR) Well Option
 - Availability

∆ ASIC

- Consistency
- Cost (Initial and Long Term) ??
- Future Value to Town
- Acceptable Water Quality



Water Source Master Planning

• City of Chandler Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR) Well Tour

▲ ASIC





Water Source Supply & Demand Strategy

 Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR) Well – Primary Irrigation Source

<u>Storage</u>

- Reclaimed Water into On-site Lake Amenity
 - Seasonal Availability
- Reclaimed Water Injection into Aquifer
 - Off-peak Surplus
 - Town of Gilbert Storage Credits

<u>Recovery</u>

∆ ASIC

- Ground Water into On-site Storage Lake Amenity
 - Peak Season Demand
 - Town of Gilbert Storage Debits
- Potable Water from Hydrant Emergency Back-up into Lake Amenity



Develop Supply & Demand Balance Model for Reclaimed Water with ASR Well Concept

Chandler New Regional Park

FIGURE 5 - Irrigation Reclaimed Water Supply & Demand Balance Study - DRAFT (Revised 5/18/16) 5/18/2016

F

M

di.



M

-1

ά.

0

D

5

2019 NATIONAL CONFERENCE

▲ ASIC
MASTER PLANNING SHOWCASE – CHANDLER HEIGHTS BASIN PARK

IRRIGATION MASTER PLAN COST MODELING



▲ ASIC

MASTER PLANNING SHOWCASE – CHANDLER HEIGHTS BASIN PARK DEVELOP CONCEPTUAL MAINLINE AND CONTROL SYSTEM DIAGRAM



2019 NATIONAL CONFERENCE

▲ ASIC

MASTER PLANNING SHOWCASE – CHANDLER HEIGHTS BASIN PARK DEVELOP PRELIMINARY IRRIGATION MAINLINE HYDRAULIC MODEL



▲ ASIC

MASTER PLANNING SHOWCASE – CHANDLER HEIGHTS BASIN PARK DEVELOP IRRIGATION MASTER PLAN COST MODEL

Engineering

INTY WATER

Walker Schule and

Gilbert New Regional Park

Irrigation Master Plan Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Town of Gilbert, Arizona

REV1 DRAFT for client review and comment

May 18, 2016

No.	Description	Units	Number	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Irrig	nation Water Supply				
1	Reclaimed Water Meter w/ CMU Enclosure (6" Turbine Meter) NIC plant investment fees	LS	-1	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00
23	Reclaimed Water Supply Line to Lake (10" Class 200 PVC) Reclaimed Air Gap Wet Well Assembly at Lake	LF LS	4,750	\$30.00 \$12,000.00	\$142,500.00 \$12,000.00
4	ASR Well Equipment & Controls (assumes above grade installation in maintenance yard similar to Chandler ASR)	LS	1	\$1,300,000.00	\$1,300,000.00
5	Potable water back-up supply (for short-term emergency only, 2" Meter 8 line, Air Gap Assembly) NIC plant investment fees	k supply LS	t .	\$7,500,00	\$7,500.00
	Subtotal Irrigation Water Supply Construction Costs			Subtotal	\$1,480,000.00
Lak	e				
1	Excavation of Lake (assumes 24" vertical wall, 4:1 recovery shelf, 3:1 slo depth at bottom)	pe to 12' CY	141,501	\$5.00	\$707,505.00
2	Stock Pile Excavated Soil On Site	per 10 CY truckload	14,150	\$18.00	\$254,701.80
3	Lake Edge Treatment (assumes combination shotcrete edge and structur	al edge) LF	2,400	\$75.00	\$180,000.00
4	Lake Liner (Inludes fine grading, 30 mil PVC Liner, 8 oz geotextile, 12" so and compaction)	Il cover SF	352,000	\$1.75	\$616,000.00
5	Soils & Liner Testing	LS	1	\$7.500.00	\$7,500.00
6	Pond Aeration System with Diffusers	LS	- 1	\$45,000.00	\$45,000.00
7	Overflow Pipe to Sewer (18" PVC)	LF	400	\$45.00	\$18,000,00
8	Recirculation Piping (avg 6" PVC)	LF	3,100	\$18,00	\$55,800.00
9	Recirculation Balance Valves (2" gate valve)	EA	22	\$400.00	\$8,800.00
10	Lake Level Controls	LS	1	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
11	Fish Habitat Allowance	LS	1	\$50,000,00	\$50,000.00
	Subtotal Lake Construction Costs			Subtotal	\$1,953,306.80
Irrig	nation Pump System & Enclosure				
1	4" CL200 PVC Filter Backwash Pipe to Lake	LF.	450	\$12.00	\$5,400.00
2	36" HDPE Pump System Intake Pipe (incl intake screen)	LE	600	\$200.00	\$120,000,00
3	96" diam x 30' deep Wet Well	EA	1	\$40,000,00	\$40,000.00
4	Pre-fabricated Irrigation Pump System Skid with Automatic Filtration	EA	1	\$285,000.00	\$285,000,00
5	Pump Station Electrical	15	4	\$65,000,00	\$65,000,00
6	Pump Station CMU Enclosure with Shade Structure	LS	1	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00
	Subtotal Pump & Enclosure Construction Costs	61		Subtotal	\$590,400.00

1 14" ODO PVC W DI Fittings LF 360 \$42.00 \$15,120 2 12" C900 PVC W DI Fittings LF 2,600 \$33.00 \$\$93,600 3 10" CL200 PVC W DI Fittings LF 2,400 \$30.00 \$\$72,000 4 8" CL200 PVC W DI Fittings LF 3,100 \$\$24.00 \$\$74,400 5 6" CL200 PVC W DI Fittings LF 6,200 \$\$18.00 \$\$11,600 4 CL200 PVC W DI Fittings LF 6,200 \$\$12.00 \$\$74,400 7 3" SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 1,600 \$\$9.00 \$\$14,400 8 2" SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 8,000 \$\$6.000 \$\$48,000 9 12" Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$2,400.00 \$\$6,000 18" Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$2,400.00 \$\$6,000 18" Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$2,400.00 \$\$1,800.00 18" Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$2,400.00 \$\$3,200.00 14" Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$3,000.00 \$\$3,000.01 14" Gate Valve	Irrig	ation System				
2 12° C900 PVC w DI Fittings LF 2.600 \$36.00 \$93.600 3 10° CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 2.400 \$30.00 \$72.000 6 8° CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 6.200 \$18.00 \$74.400 6 4° CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 6.200 \$18.00 \$74.400 7 3° SCH40 PVC w DI Fittings LF 6.200 \$12.00 \$74.400 7 3° SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 8.000 \$6.00 \$44.000 9 12° Gate Valve EA 2 \$3,000.00 \$6.000 10° Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9.600. 12° Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9.600. 13 ° Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,500.00 \$6.000 14 ° Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8.000. 13 ° Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8.00.00 14 ° Gate Valve EA 6 \$8.00.00 \$8.200.00 15 ° Gate Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$4.800.	1	14" C900 PVC w DI Fittings	LF	360	\$42.00	\$15,120.00
3 10° CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 2,400 \$30,00 \$72,000 4 8° CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 3,100 \$24,00 \$74,400 5 6° CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 6,200 \$11,600 \$74,400 7 3° SCH40 PVC w DI Fittings LF 6,200 \$12.00 \$74,400 8 ° CL200 PVC w DV Fittings LF 6,200 \$12.00 \$74,400 9 12° Cate Valve LF 8,000 \$8.00 \$48,000 9 12° Cate Valve EA 2 \$3,00.00 \$6.00 10° Cate Valve EA 4 \$24,000 \$9,600 11 8° Gate Valve EA 4 \$24,000 \$9,600 12° Gate Valve EA 4 \$24,000 \$9,600 14° Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,500.00 \$10,800 15° Gate Valve EA 4 \$24,000 \$3,200 14° Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$4,200 15° Cate Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$4,800	2	12" C900 PVC w DI Fittings	LF	2,600	\$36.00	\$93,600.00
4 8° CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 3,100 \$24.00 \$\$74,400 5 6° CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 6,200 \$\$18.00 \$\$111,600 6 4° CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 6,200 \$\$12.00 \$\$74,400 7 3° SCH40 PVC w DVC Fittings LF 1,600 \$\$0.00 \$\$14,400 8 2° SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 8,000 \$\$6.00 \$\$48,000 9 12° Gate Valve EA 2 \$\$3,000.00 \$\$6,000 10° Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$2,400.00 \$\$9,600 11 8° Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$2,400.00 \$\$9,600 12 Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$2,400.00 \$\$9,600 12 Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$2,000.00 \$\$12,000 26 ° Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$000.00 \$\$12,000.00 \$\$8,000.01 \$\$12,000.00 \$\$8,000.01 \$\$2,200.00 \$\$8,000.01 \$\$2,200.00 \$\$4,800.00 \$\$3,200.01 \$\$4,800.00 \$\$3,200.01 \$\$4,800.00 \$\$3,200.01 \$\$5,800.00 \$\$4,800.01<	3	10" CL200 PVC w DI Fittings	LF	2,400	\$30.00	\$72,000.00
5 6 * CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 6,200 \$18.00 \$111,600 6 4* CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 6,200 \$12.00 \$74,400 7 3* SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 1,600 \$9.00 \$14,400 8 2* SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 8,000 \$6.00 \$14,400 9 12* Gate Valve EA 2 \$3,000.00 \$6,000 10* Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9,600 10* 6 ate Valve EA 6 \$1,800.00 \$10,800 12* Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,500.00 \$12,000 13 * Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,600 14 * Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$3,8200 14 * Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$3,8200 15 * Gate Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$4,800 16 2* Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$4,800 1* Touick Coupling Valve EA 15 \$35	4	8" CL200 PVC w DI Fittings	LF	3,100	\$24.00	\$74,400.00
6 4" CL200 PVC w DI Fittings LF 6,200 \$12.00 \$74,400 7 3" SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 1,600 \$9.00 \$14,400 8 2" SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 8,000 \$6.00 \$48,000 9 12" Gate Valve EA 2 \$3,000.00 \$6,000 10" Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9,600 12" Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9,600 12" Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$10,800 12 6" Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,500.00 \$12,000 13 4" Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,000 14 3" Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$3,200 15 2" Gate Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$4,800 14 3" Gate Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$4,800 14 "Gate Valve EA 10 \$8,500.00 \$85,000.00 14 Irigation Satelifte Controllers w Central Communication EA	5	6" CL200 PVC w DI Fittings	LF	6,200	\$18.00	\$111,600.00
7 3" SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 1,600 \$9.00 \$14,400 8 2" SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 8,000 \$6.00 \$48,000 9 12" Gate Valve EA 2 \$3,000.00 \$6,000 10" Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9,600 12 " Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9,600 12 " Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9,600 12 " Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$10,800 12 " Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,500.00 \$12,000 3 " Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,000 4 " Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$3,200 5 " Gate Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$4,800 6 " Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$4,800 11" Ouick Coupling Valve EA 10 \$8,500.00 \$54,250. 18 brinkler Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$14,48.606. 20 Spr	6	4" CL200 PVC w DI Fittings	LF	6,200	\$12.00	\$74,400.00
8 2" SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings LF 8,000 \$6.00 \$48,000 9 12" Gate Valve EA 2 \$3,000.00 \$6,000 10" Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9,600 11 8" Gate Valve EA 6 \$1,800.00 \$10,800 12 "Gate Valve EA 6 \$1,800.00 \$10,800 13 4" Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,500.00 \$8,000 14 3" Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,000 14 3" Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$3,200 15 2" Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$4,800 16 2" Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$4,800 12" Gate Valve EA 10 \$8,500.00 \$54,250.01 14" Ouck Coupling Valve EA 10 \$8,500.00 \$54,250.01 15" Sprinkler Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 2,109,764 \$0.55 \$1,160,370.51 10 prinkler Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emititers) SF 522,720	7	3" SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings	LF	1,600	\$9.00	\$14,400.00
9 12" Gate Valve EA 2 \$3,000.00 \$6,000 10" Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9,600 12" Gate Valve EA 6 \$1,800.00 \$10.800 12" Gate Valve EA 6 \$1,800.00 \$12,000 12" Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,000 13" Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,000 14" Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$8,000 15 2" Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$8,000 16 2" Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$4,800. 16 2" Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$4,800. 15 2" Sorthker Irrigation Satellite Controllers w Central Communication EA 10 \$85,000.00 \$\$55,000. 18 Irrigation in Dsortsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$748,606. 20 Sprinkler Irrigation in Dsortsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 52,270. \$0.35 \$12,907.03 <t< td=""><td>8</td><td>2" SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings</td><td>LF</td><td>8,000</td><td>\$6.00</td><td>\$48,000.00</td></t<>	8	2" SCH40 PVC w PVC Fittings	LF	8,000	\$6.00	\$48,000.00
10" Gate Valve EA 4 \$2,400.00 \$9,600 11 8" Gate Valve EA 6 \$1,800.00 \$10,800 2 6" Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,500.00 \$12,000 13 4" Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,000 13 4" Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,000 15 2" Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$3,200 15 2" Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$4,800 15 2" Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$4,800 15 2" Gate Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$4,800 16 2" Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$4,800 17 1" Quick Coupling Valve EA 10 \$8,500.00 \$54,250 18 Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$748,606 20 Sprinkler Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 522,720 \$0.35 \$182,952. 22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00	9	12" Gate Valve	EA	2	\$3,000.00	\$6,000.00
11 8" Gate Valve EA 6 \$1,800.00 \$10,800 12 6" Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,500.00 \$\$12,000 13 " Gate Valve EA 8 \$\$1,000.00 \$\$8,000 14 3" Gate Valve EA 8 \$\$1,000.00 \$\$8,000 14 3" Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$800.00 \$\$3,200 15 2" Gate Valve EA 4 \$\$800.00 \$\$4,800 16 2" Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 4 \$\$800.00 \$\$4,800 16 2" Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 6 \$\$800.00 \$\$4,800 17 "Quick Coupling Valve EA 10 \$\$8,500.00 \$\$84,606 19 Sprinkler Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 2,109,764 \$0.55 \$\$1,160,370 21 Drip Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 522,720 \$0.35 \$\$182,952 22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$\$50,000.00 \$\$50,000.00 \$\$50,000.00	10	10" Gate Valve	EA	4	\$2,400.00	\$9,600.00
12 6° Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,500.00 \$12,000 13 4° Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,000 13 4° Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,000 14 3° Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$\$3,200 15 2° Gate Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$\$4,800 16 2° Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$\$4,800 17 "Quick Coupling Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$\$4,800 17 "Quick Coupling Valve EA 10 \$\$8,500.00 \$\$85,000 18 Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$748,666.20 20 Sprinkler Irrigation in Dassive Turf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 2,109,764 \$0.55 \$1,160,370.01 21 Drip Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 522,720 \$0.35 \$182,952. 22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$50,000.00 \$50,000.00 <td>11</td> <td>8" Gate Valve</td> <td>EA</td> <td>6</td> <td>\$1,800.00</td> <td>\$10,800.00</td>	11	8" Gate Valve	EA	6	\$1,800.00	\$10,800.00
13 4° Gate Valve EA 8 \$1,000.00 \$8,000 14 3° Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$3,200 15 2° Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$3,200 15 2° Gate Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$4,800 16 2° Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$4,800 17 1° Quick Coupling Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$\$4,800 18 Irrigation Satellite Controllers w Central Communication EA 10 \$\$6,500.00 \$\$6,500.00 18 Irrigation in Passive Turf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$\$748,606. 20 Sprinkler Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 52,2720 \$0.35 \$\$182,952. 21 Ontigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$50,000.00 \$\$50,000. Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs Subtotal \$2,843,899. \$\$2,843,899. \$\$2,843,899. Miscellaneous 1 Allowance for Incidentals LS 1 \$1	12	6" Gate Valve	EA	8	\$1,500.00	\$12,000.00
14 3° Gate Valve EA 4 \$800.00 \$3,200 15 2° Gate Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$4,800 16 2° Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$4,800 17 "Quick Coupling Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$4,800 17 "Quick Coupling Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$\$4,800 18 Irrigation Satellite Controllers w Central Communication EA 10 \$\$6,\$500.00 \$\$84,800 19 Sprinkler Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) \$F 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$\$14,806 20 Sprinkler Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) \$F 522,720 \$0.35 \$\$182,952. 20 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$50,000.00 \$50,000. Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs Subtotal \$2,843,899. \$2,843,899. \$2,843,899. Miscellaneous 1 Allowance for Incidentals LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000. 2 Mobilization & General	13	4" Gate Valve	EA	8	\$1,000.00	\$8,000.00
15 2" Gate Valve EA 12 \$400.00 \$4,800 16 2" Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$4,800 17 1" Quick Coupling Valve EA 155 \$355.000 \$\$4,250 18 Irrigation Satellite Controllers w Central Communication EA 10 \$8,500.00 \$\$85,000 19 Sprinkler Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$\$748,606 20 Sprinkler Irrigation in Passive Turf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 2,109,764 \$0.55 \$1,160,370 21 Drip Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 522,720 \$0.35 \$182,952 22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$50,000.00 \$50,000.00 Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs Subtotal \$22,843,899. \$24,843,899. \$24,843,899. Miscellaneous 1 Allowance for Incidentals LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$26,244,017. \$26,244,0	14	3" Gate Valve	EA	4	\$800.00	\$3,200.00
16 2" Air/Vac Relief Valve EA 6 \$800.00 \$4.800 17 "Quick Coupling Valve EA 155 \$350.00 \$\$4.800 17 "Quick Coupling Valve EA 155 \$350.00 \$\$54,250 18 Irrigation Satellite Controllers w Central Communication EA 10 \$\$8,500.00 \$\$85,000 19 Sprinkler Irrigation in Passive Turf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$748,606 20 Sprinkler Irrigation in Dassive Turf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 2,109,764 \$0.55 \$\$1,160,370. 21 Drip Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 52,272 \$0.35 \$\$182,952. 22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$\$50,000.00 \$\$50,000.00 Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs Subtotal \$\$2,843,899. \$\$2,843,899. Miscellaneous 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 \$2,843,899. 2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00	15	2" Gate Valve	EA	12	\$400.00	\$4,800.00
17 "1" Quick Coupling Valve EA 155 \$350.00 \$54,250 18 Irrigation Satellite Controllers w Central Communication EA 10 \$8,500.00 \$\$85,000 19 Sprinkler Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$\$748,606 20 Sprinkler Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 2,109,764 \$0.55 \$\$1,160,370 21 Drip Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 522,720 \$0.35 \$\$182,952. 22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$50,000.00 \$\$20,000.00 Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs Subtotal \$2,843,899. \$2,843,899. Miscellaneous 1 Allowance for Incidentals LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000. 2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000. 3 Contingency (10%) LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000. \$100,000. \$100,000. \$100,000. \$100,000. \$100,000. \$100,000. \$10	16	2" Air/Vac Relief Valve	EA	6	\$800.00	\$4,800.00
18 Irrigation Satellite Controllers w Central Communication EA 10 \$8,500.00 \$85,000 19 Sprinkler Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$748,606 20 Sprinkler Irrigation in Passive Turf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 2,109,764 \$0.55 \$\$1,160,370 21 Drip Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 522,720 \$0.35 \$\$182,952 22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$50,000.00 \$50,000.00 Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs Subtotal \$2,843,899 \$2,843,899 Miscellaneous 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$100,000.00 3 Contigency (10%) LS 1 \$749,017. Subtotal Miscellaneous Statt Construction Costs \$1,371,588 Total Construction Costs	17	1" Quick Coupling Valve	EA	155	\$350.00	\$54,250.00
19 Sprinkler Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 1,151,703 \$0.65 \$748,606 20 Sprinkler Irrigation in Passive Turf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 2,109,764 \$0.55 \$1,160,370 21 Drip Irrigation in Dareas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 522,720 \$0.35 \$182,952 22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$50,000.00 \$50,000.00 2 Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs Subtotal \$2,843,899. \$100,000.00 Miscellaneous 1 Allowance for Incidentals LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$2,843,899. 3 Contingency (10%) LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 \$2,822,870.00 3 Subtotal Miscellaneous 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 \$2,822,870.00 \$2,824,970.07 3 Contingency (10%) LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$10,970.97 \$1,371,588.00 \$1,371,588.00 \$1,371,588.00 \$1,371,588.00	18	Irrigation Satellite Controllers w Central Communication	EA	10	\$8,500.00	\$85,000.00
20 Sprinkler Irrigation in Passive Turf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers) SF 2,109,764 \$0.55 \$1,160,370 21 Drip Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 522,720 \$0.35 \$182,952 22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$50,000.00 \$50,000.00 Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs Subtotal \$2,843,899. \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 Miscellaneous 1 Allowance for Incidentals LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$52,270 \$100,000.00 3 Contingency (10%) LS 1 \$749,017. \$1,371,588. Total Construction Costs \$8,239,194.	19	Sprinkler Irrigation in Sportsturf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers)	SF	1,151,703	\$0.65	\$748,606.95
21 Drip Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters) SF 522,720 \$0.35 \$182,952 22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding LS 1 \$50,000.00 \$50,000 Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs Subtotal \$2,843,899 \$2,843,899 Miscellaneous 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$100,000.00 3 Contingency (10%) LS 1 \$749,017. Subtotal Miscellaneous Total Construction Costs \$8,239,194.	20	Sprinkler Irrigation in Passive Turf Areas (inc RCV, wire, lateral, sprinkers)	SF	2,109,764	\$0.55	\$1,160,370.20
22 Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs LS 1 \$50,000.00 \$50,000 Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs \$2,843,899 Miscellaneous I Allowance for Incidentals LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 3 Contingency (10%) LS 1 \$749,017. \$1,371,588. Total Construction Costs	21	Drip Irrigation in DG Areas (30% canopy cover, inc RCV, wire, lateral, emitters)	SF	522,720	\$0.35	\$182,952.00
Subtotal Irrigation Construction CostsSubtotal\$2,843,899Miscellaneous1Allowance for IncidentalsLS1\$100,000.02 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%)LS1\$522,570.3 Contingency (10%)LS1\$749,017.Subtotal MiscellaneousTotal Construction Costs\$8,239,194.	22	Contigency for Rock Trenching & Bedding	LS	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
Miscellaneous LS 1 \$100,000.0 \$100,000.0 1 Allowance for Incidentals LS 1 \$100,000.0 \$100,000.0 2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$522,570.1 3 Contingency (10%) LS 1 \$749,017.1 Subtotal Miscellaneous Total Construction Costs \$8,239,194.1		Subtotal Irrigation Construction Costs			Subtotal	\$2,843,899.15
1 Allowance for Incidentals LS 1 \$100,000.00 \$100,000.00 2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$\$22,570.00 3 Contingency (10%) LS 1 \$\$749,017.00 Subtotal Miscellaneous Total Construction Costs \$\$8,239,194.00	Mise	cellaneous				
2 Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%) LS 1 \$522,570 3 Contingency (10%) LS 1 \$749,017. Subtotal Miscellaneous \$1,371,588. \$1,371,588.	1	Allowance for Incidentals	LS	1	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00
3 Contingency (10%) LS 1 \$749,017. Subtotal Miscellaneous \$1,371,588. \$8,239,194.	2	Mobilization & General Conditions (7.5%)	LS	1		\$522,570.45
Subtotal Miscellaneous \$1,371,588. Total Construction Costs \$8,239,194.	3	Contingency (10%)	LS	1		\$749,017.64
Total Construction Costs \$8,239,194.		Subtotal Miscellaneous				\$1,371,588.09
	\$8,239,194.04					

NOTES:

 This Opinion of Probable Construction Cost is not intended for use in bidding or ordering of equipment. Aqua Engineering will not be responsible for differences between this information and actual project equipment quantities or construction costs.

2. This Opinion of Probable Construction Cost does not include design and consulting fees or other soft cost items.

∆ ASIC





MASTER PLANNING

Community Development

Original land from Mission San Juan Capistrano

∆ASIC



Generations of cattle land and orchard production

∆ASIC



Master Planned Community

Several Planning Areas

10,000 Dwelling Units

1,800 Acres of common area

Master HOA

∆ ASIC

Integrated Irrigation





▲ ASIC

Water Source is recycled TSE

6 MGD to 10 MGD from Chiquita Treatment Facility by local agency

5,000 AF Seasonal Storage for peak summer demand is under construction

∆ASIC



Master Planning Planning Area 3

- Volumetric Analysis
- Flow Analysis
- Pressure Zone Studies
 - Two HGL zones
- Meter and Controller Layout
 - Maintenance Responsibility
 - Phasing
 - Construction package breakdown
- GIS data

▲ ASIC

- Design guidelines
- Plan review
- Construction observation of all HOA landscape

Land Plan

∆ASIC



Approach

Apply known landscape parameter data to categorized gross pad areas to determine quantities of sub-categorized hydrozones resulting in volumetric and flow requirements.

Study data record of existing landscapes with 28 planning categories

Study each category for landscape hydrozones

Example: Market Rate housing tract with low density
10% landscape per gross pad area
60% Warm season turf with overhead spray
20% Low water use shrub massing with inline drip
20% Moderate water use shrub massing with inline
drip

.34 Acres landscape, .20 acres turf, .07 acres low, .07 acres mod water use shrub massings



A ASIC



∆ASIC



LEGEND

Result – Neighborhood 61

Туре	Gross Pad	Net Landscape	Spray Low	Spray Mod	Drip Mod	Turf
MR Apt	5.2	1.56			1.17	0.39
MR Apt	4	1.2			0.9	0.3
Park	1	0.8	0.16	0.16		0.48
Slopes	2.8	2.8	2.24	.56		

Calculate volumetric and flow demand for each hydrozone based on independent water windows

Sum of flow requirement for each provides node flow for hydraulic flow analysis

Neighborhood 61 – 109 gpm.

∆ ASIC



Results – Planning Area

Net Landscape	Lakes	Spray Low	Spray Mod	Drip Mod	Turf
945.5	4.30	498.36	115.12	142.65	185.07

Total Demand: 3,709 AF per year

Peak Day: 5.01 MGD

∆ ASIC

Peak Month: 476.19 AF

Peak Day Flow: 14,764 GPM

Flow per Acre: 16.32 gpm / Ac.



Results – Meter Layout

Considerations:

Pressure Zones

Maintenance Responsibility

Special Benefit Areas (SBA's)

Permitting

Phasing

▲ ASIC

Construction Document packages



Results – Meter Layout

Special Benefit Areas Metering Considerations

Meter 82 Slope Meter 95 Slope

Phasing: Model locations

▲ ASIC

Phasing: Marketing Corridor vs. Tract slopes



Challenges

- Master Plan lock down
- Estimation of landscape area and hydrozones
- Enforcement of plant palette
- Flow creep vs. time
- Contingencies ? ? ?

∆ASIC

• Implementation of Design Guidelines

